¹Department of Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany and ²Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Libechov, Czech Republic

Linkage and QTL mapping for Sus scrofa chromosome 12

By G. Yue¹, J. Schröffel Jr^2 , G. Moser¹, H. Bartenschlager¹, G. Reiner¹ and H. Geldermann¹

Summary

The SSC12 (*Sus scrofa* chromosome 12) linkage and QTL maps were generated using 11 markers, of which seven to 10 have been used in the three F_2 families based on Wild Boar (W), Meishan (M) and Pietrain (P) crosses. Linkage maps showed identical marker order among the families, but differed in total lengths. They were in agreement with the already published maps, except for the order *SWR1021–SW605*. Most quantitative trait loci (QTLs) affected fat or meat content in carcass, but were also found for some other traits (heart weight, CK_{20} values and teat number). They explained up to 5.4% of F_2 phenotypic variance. Meishan alleles had stimulating effects on fat deposition and decreasing effects on lean content and CK_{20} value. The QTL profiles differed between families, with QTL effects in the vicinity of the *GH1* locus found solely in the M × P family.

Zusammenfassung

Auf der Basis von elf Markern wurden Kopplungs- und QTL-Karten für Chromosom 12 (SSC12) in drei F_2 -Familien aus Kreuzungen von Wildschwein (W), Meishan (M) und Pietrain (P) erstellt. Hierbei wurden sieben bis zehn Marker pro F_2 -Familie benutzt. Die Kopplungskarten zeigten eine gleichartige Anordnung der Loci für alle Familien, jedoch mit verschiedenen Kartenlängen. Sie stimmen, außer in der Anordnung *SWR1021–SW605*, mit bereits publizierten Karten überein. Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs) waren hauptsächlich für Merkmale des Fett-oder Fleischanteils im Schlachtkörper festzustellen, daneben aber auch für weitere Merkmale (Herzgewicht, CK₂₀-Wert, Zitzenzahl). Sie erklärten bis zu 5,4% der phänotypischen Varianz in der F_2 -Generation. Meishan-Allele waren assoziiert mit einer Steigerung des Fettansatzes sowie einer Reduktion der Anteile wertvoller Teilstücke und der CK₂₀-Werte. Die QTL-Profile unterschieden sich zwischen den Familien und ließen Assoziationen mit dem *GH1*-Locus nur in der Familie M × P erkennen.

Introduction

Published linkage maps of *Sus scrofa* chromosome 12 (SSC12) (http://www.thearkdb.org), based on up to 30 loci, range between 113.1 cM (USDA-MARC.2, ROHRER et al. 1996) and 121.6 cM (NIAI-Japan, MIKAWA et al. 1999) in length. Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been mapped for reproduction (CASSADY et al. 2001), teat number (HIROOKA et al. 2001), growth (PASZEK et al. 1999; ROHRER 2000), muscling (PASZEK et al. 2001), fatness (KORWIN-KOSSAKOWSKA et al. 2001; MALEK et al. 2001a) and meat quality (MALEK et al. 2001b). KNORR et al. (1997) described strong associations between the candidate gene *GH1* and fat deposition. Preliminary reports on SSC12 QTLs detected in the Hohenheim F₂ families were published by GELDERMANN et al. (1999) and YUE (1999).

Materials and methods

 F_2 families – based on crosses of Meishan (M), European Wild Boar (W) and Pietrain (P) – were used. The family structure, housing, selection of quantitative traits and marker loci as

U.S. Copyright Clearance Center Code Statement: 1742–4488/2003/S2001–0095 \$15.00/0 www.blackwell.de/synergy

Marker locus	Туре	Reference	Genotyping	
S0083	MS	Ellegren et al. 1993	Hohenheim ¹	
S0090	MS	Ellegren et al. 1993	Hohenheim ¹	
S0106	MS	Ellegren et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
S0143	MS	WILKE et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
S0147	MS	WILKE et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
SW605	MS	Rohrer et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
SW874	MS	Rohrer et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
SW957	MS	Rohrer et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
SWR1021	MS	Rohrer et al. 1994	Hohenheim ¹	
EAD	BG	HRADECKY and LINHART 1970	Libechov ²	
GH1-H ³	RFLP	LARSEN and NIELSEN 1993	Hohenheim ¹	
GH1-A ³	RFLP	LARSEN and NIELSEN 1993	Hohenheim ¹	
MS, microsatellite; B antigen D; <i>GH1-H</i> ,	G, blood group; RFLI growth hormone, <i>Hin</i>	P, restriction fragment length polymorphism; <i>L</i> PI-RFLP; GH1-A, growth hormone, ApaI-RF	EAD, erythrocyte ELP.	

Table 1. Markers used for linkage and OTL analysis on SSC12

¹Department of Animal Breeding and Biotechnology, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany.

²Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Libechov, Czech Republic.

³H and A indicate the restriction enzymes (HinPI and ApaI) used for genotyping the growth hormone coding locus GH1.

well as statistical analysis are described in the initial article of this issue (Geldermann et al. 2003). SSC12 has been genotyped for 11 marker loci (Table 1). Here the locus GH1 was analysed by using two restriction fragment length polymorphisms. Four of these markers were not tested in the M \times P family, three not in W \times P and two not in W \times M; six markers were genotyped in all three families (Table 2).

Results and discussion

Marker characterization and linkage maps

The 11 loci genotyped in the founder animals revealed 52 different alleles of which 33 occurred solely in one founder group (Table 2a). Eight alleles were identified in all three founder groups. Pietrain and Wild Boar shared more alleles than each does with Meishan consistent with the more distant relationship of the Meishan from the European pigs. Average heterozygosity in the F_1 generations varied between 0.56 in the W \times P family and 0.84 in the W \times M family, and the numbers of informative meioses were between 451 and 585 per family (Table 2b). Figure 1 illustrates that the information content along SSC12 generally exceeded 0.6, except in the W \times P family where the interval S0143–GH1 had low informativity.

As shown in Fig. 2, gene order in the linkage maps of SSC12 was identical for the three families, but the overall length was up to 48 cM larger in the $W \times M$ family compared with the other two families. Maternal maps were significantly longer (p < 0.001) than the paternal ones, with ratios between maternal and paternal maps of 1.5 (W \times M) to 2.0 (W \times P). Average distances between markers were 19.5, 15.9 and 17.6 cM in the M \times P, W \times P and W \times M families, respectively. The markers almost completely covered SSC12, as the most proximal locus S0143 was located at 7 cM on the USDA-MARC.2 map (http://www.thearkdb.org), and SW605 and SWR1021 were the most distal loci on any maps of SSC12. The maps agreed well with published maps (Archibald et al. 1995; MARKLUND et al. 1996; MIKAWA et al. 1999), except for the

(a) Alleles in the founder generation									
		Alleles in							
	М		Р	W					
Marker locus	m (1)	f (4)	f (14)	m (1)					
S0083	_	-	178, 182, 186, 188, 190, 192	182, 188					
S0090	251	251	241 ^a , 243, 245, 247	241, 243					
S0106	_	130, 134	_	138, 140					
S0143	162, 164	162, 164	156, 158, 162 ^b , 164	156, 164					
S0147	-	168	160, 162, 168	164, 168					
SW605	108, 117	108, 117	113 ^a , 119, 121, 130	119					
SW874	188	188, 198, 203	203 ^a , 206, 208 ^a , 210	206					
SW957	-	153	_	112, 130					
SWR1021	93, 97	85, 93, 97	93, 97, 109, 111, 116	85, 93					
EAD	a	a, b	Ь	Ь					
GH1–H°	1, 2	1, 2, 4	1 ^a , 2, 3, 4	4					
GH1–A°	2	-	1, 2	2					

Table 2. Properties of marker alleles

(b) Number of alleles, heterozygosity and number of informative meioses

Marker locus		Family								
	$M \times P$		$W \times P$			$W \times M$				
	n	h	IM	n	h	IM	n	h	IM	
S0083	-	-	_	5	0.93	698	_	-	_	
S0090	4	1.00	632	4	0.71	626	3	1.00	732	
S0106	-	-	-	-	_	-	4	1.00	730	
S0143	4	0.73	464	3	0.57	582	3	0.74	646	
S0147	-	-	-	4	0.86	662	2	0.35	328	
SW605	5	1.00	702	3	0.39	143	3	1.00	670	
SW874	3	1.00	632	4	0.25	229	4	1.00	670	
SW957	-	-	-	-	_	-	3	1.00	732	
SWR1021	4	0.59	440	6	0.75	640	3	0.87	523	
EAD	2	1.00	626	-	_	-	2	0.48	153	
GH1-H ^c	4	0.95	666	3	0.29	243	3	1.00	668	
GH1–A°	2	0.36	252	2	0.29	236	_	_	-	
Average	3.5	0.83	552	3.8	0.56	451	3.0	0.84	585	

M, Meishan; P, Pietrain; W, Wild Boar; m, male; f, female; n, number of alleles observed in F_1 generation; h, heterozygosity observed in F_1 generation; IM, number of informative meioses; *EAD*, erythrocyte antigen D; –, not tested.

Numbers of founder animals used to generate the F_1 animals are given in parentheses. Sizes of microsatellite alleles are indicated by their lengths in bp. For information content of F_2 generation see Fig. 1.

^aAlleles occurring in the W \times P family, but not in the M \times P family.

^bAlleles occurring in the M \times P family, but not in the W \times P family.

^cH and A indicate the restriction enzymes (*HinPI* and *ApaI*) used for genotyping the growth hormone coding locus *GH1*.

order *SWR1021–SW605* in our three families which agreed with the USDA-MARC.1 map (ROHRER et al. 1994), but contradicts the USDA-MARC.2 map (ROHRER et al. 1996). The blood group system erythrocyte antigen D (*EAD*) was assigned to SSC12 by CEPICA et al. (1996).



Fig. 1. Information content for SSC12. For individual marker loci, the polymorphism information content (PIC) values are shown as crosses. The cumulative information content across the chromosome is indicated by the solid line. Distances between loci are given in sex-averaged cM. *GH1-H* and *GH1-A* indicate different RFLPs used for genotyping the locus *GH1*

Map positions and effects of QTLs

Most QTLs found on SSC12 affected mainly fat or meat content traits (Table 3). Other QTLs were mapped for CK_{20} value, weight of heart and number of teats. In general, QTL effects on SSC12 were relatively minor, explaining up to 5.4% of the F₂ phenotypic variance. Meishan QTL alleles were associated with increased fat deposition, decreased lean content and decreased CK_{20} value. Large dominance effects were often observed, and in the $M \times P$ family they were often larger than the additive effects.

The QTL profiles (Fig. 3) differed between the families. QTLs for weight of heart and ham were only found in the W \times P family (Table 3) and similar to the positions of the QTLs for back-fat and colour score of meat mapped by MALEK et al. (2001a,b) in a Berkshire \times Yorkshire F₂ generation in the interval *S0147–SW2180*. HIROOKA et al.



Fig. 2. Genetic linkage maps of SSC12. For each family the sex-averaged (left), maternal (middle) and paternal (right) maps are shown with the estimated Kosambi map distances (cM) between loci (numbers at right-hand side of the maps). To the left-hand side of the sex-averaged maps the statistical supports for the pair-wise order of markers are given. The total lengths of the maps are shown at the bottom of each bar. *GH1-H* and *GH1-A* indicate different RFLPs used for genotyping the locus *GH1*

Table 3. Significant QTL effects on SSC12

Trait	F ratio I	Position (cM)	$a\pm SE$	$d \pm SE$	VF ₂ (%)		
(a) $M \times P$ family							
Lean cuts (%)	7.7*	26.3	-0.76 ± 0.29	1.28 ± 0.47	4.8		
Fat cuts (%)	7.0*	47.0	0.63 ± 0.25	-1.04 ± 0.41	4.3		
Back-fat depth on M.l.d. at 13th/14th rib (mm)	6.9*	34.0	$\textbf{0.68}\pm\textbf{0.39}$	-1.83 ± 0.58	4.3		
Loin fat depth (mm)	6.4*	42.0	1.34 ± 0.52	-2.01 ± 0.85	3.9		
CK20-value (log10 U/ml)	5.8*	69.3	-0.09 ± 0.03	-0.09 ± 0.05	3.5		
Fat area on M.l.d. at 13th/14th rib (cm ²)	5.5*	34.0	0.77 ± 0.35	-1.24 ± 0.52	3.3		
(b) $W \times P$ family							
Weight of heart (g)	6.0*	111.1	-9.62 ± 3.28	7.92 ± 5.35	3.8		
Ham weight (kg)	5.7*	111.1	-0.39 ± 0.13	0.22 ± 0.21	3.6		
(c) $W \times M$ family							
Lean cuts (%)	9.2**	1.0	1.03 ± 0.26	-0.61 ± 0.38	5.4		
Number of teats	6.7*	41.5	-0.17 ± 0.05	0.05 ± 0.07	3.8		
Fat depth at approximately 10th rib (mm)	5.8*	0.0	-1.58 ± 0.47	-0.30 ± 0.67	3.2		
Significant at *p < 0.05 chromosome-wide threshold and **p < 0.05 genome-wide threshold. QTL, quantitative trait locus; SSC12, <i>Sus scrofa</i> chromosome 12; a, additive effect (positive/negative signs							

QTL, quantitative trait locus; SSC12, *Sus scrofa* chromosome 12; a, additive effect (positive/negative signs indicate the superior/inferior trait values inherited from the paternal resource group); d, dominance effect (positive for higher values of heterozygous individuals than the mean of homozygotes; negative for lower values); VF₂ (%), percentage of F₂ phenotypic variance explained by the QTL; M.I.d., Musculus longissimus dorsi.

(2001) mapped a QTL for the number of teats between *S0090* and *S0106*. A QTL for the number of teats was observed also in the W \times M family. Its position was more proximal in the interval *SW957–GH1*, than with further peaks along the chromosome. In the M \times P



Fig. 3. Profiles of *F* ratio values on SSC12 for traits from different trait complexes and with the highest levels of significance (compare Table 3). p = 0.01 and p = 0.05 indicate the genome-wide thresholds, $\langle p = 0.05 \rangle$ indicates the chromosome-wide threshold. *GH1-H* and *GH1-A* indicate different RFLPs used for genotyping the locus *GH1*

family, QTLs for fat and meat contents were mapped between 25 and 50 cM, near the growth hormone locus (GH1). Highly significant associations between growth hormone genotypes and fat deposition have previously been reported by KNORR et al. (1997). Likewise PASZEK et al. (2001) mapped QTLs for loin muscle area and intramuscular fat in the vicinity of the GH1 locus, and KORWIN-KOSSAKOWSKA et al. (2001) described a QTL for abdominal fat in carcass near the GH1 locus. However in our study, no influence of the

100

GH1 locus was found in the W \times P and W \times M families. The family-specific effects point to QTL alleles, which are most different between Meishan and Pietrain, but minor differences in allele effects between the other founder groups were below the threshold of detection.

Acknowledgements

The investigation was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG, grant nos. Mu616/6 and Ge291/20), the EC programmes BRIDGE and INCO-Copernicus and the Grant Agency of CSAV (grant no. 54553). The Meishan pigs used in the experiments were from a population provided from the Wageningen Agricultural University and Euribrid, BV Boxmeer, The Netherlands.

References

- ARCHIBALD, A. L.; HALEY, C. S.; BROWN, J. F.; COUPERWHITE, S.; MCQUEEN, H. A.; NICHOLSON, D.; COPPIETERS, W.; VAN DE WEGHE, A.; STRATIL, A.; WINTERØ, A. K.; FREDHOLM, M.; LARSEN, N. J.; NIELSEN, V. H.; MILAN, D.; WOLOSZYN, N.; ROBIC, A.; DALENS, M.; RIQUET, J.; GELLIN, J.; CARITEZ, J.-C.; BURGAUD, G.; OLLIVIER, L.; BIDANEL, J.-P.; VAIMAN, M.; RENARD, C.; GELDERMANN, H.; DAVOLI, R.; RUYTER, D.; VERSTEGE, E. J. M.; GROENEN, M. A. M.; DAVIES, W.; HØYHEIM, B.; KEISERUD, A.; ANDERSSON, L.; ELLEGREN, H.; JOHANSSON, M.; MARKLUND, L.; MILLER, J. R.; ANDERSON DEAR, D. V.; SIGNER, E.; JEFFREYS, A. J.; MORAN, C.; LE TISSIER, P.; MULADNO; ROTHSCHILD, M. F.; TUGGLE, C. K.; VASKE, D.; HELM, J.; LIU, H.-C.; RAHMAN, A.; YU, T. P.; LARSON, R. G.; SCHMITZ, C. B., 1995: The PIGMAP consortium linkage map of the pig (*Sus scrofa*). Mamm. Genome 6: 157–175.
- CASSADY, J. P.; JOHNSON, R. K.; POMP, D.; ROHRER, G. A.; VAN VLECK, L. D.; SPIEGEL, E. K.; GILSON, K. M., 2001: Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting reproduction in pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 79: 623–633.
- CEPICA, S.; MOSER, G.; SCHRÖFFEL, J. JR; KNORR, C.; GELDERMANN, H.; STRATIL, A.; HOJNY, J., 1996: Chromosomal assignment of porcine EAD, EAO, LPR and P3 genes by linkage analysis. Anim. Genet. **27**: 109–111.
- ELLEGREN, H.; JOHANSSON, M.; CHOWDHARY, B. P.; MARKLUND, S.; RUYTER, D.; MARKLUND, L.; BRÄUNER-NIELSEN, P.; EDFORS-LILJA, I.; GUSTAVSSON, I.; JUNEJA, R. K.; ANDERSSON, L., 1993: Assignment of 20 microsatellite markers to the porcine linkage map. Genomics 16: 431-439.
- ELLEGREN, H.; CHOWDHARY, B. P.; JOHANSSON, M.; ANDERSSON, L., 1994: Integrating the porcine physical and linkage map using cosmid-derived markers. Anim. Genet. 25: 155–164.
- GELDERMANN, H.; MOSER, G.; MÜLLER, E.; BEECKMANN, P.; YUE, G.; DRAGOS, M.; BARTENSCHLAGER, H.; CEPICA, S.; STRATIL, A.; SCHRÖFFEL, J., 1999: Status of genome and QTL mapping in pigs – data of Hohenheim F₂ families. Arch. Tierzucht **42**: 67–81.
- GELDERMANN, H.; MÜLLER, E.; MOSER, G.; REINER, G.; BARTENSCHLAGER, H.; CEPICA, S.; STRATIL, A.; KURYL, J.; MORAN, C.; DAVOLI, R.; BRUNSCH, C., 2003: Genome-wide linkage and QTL mapping in porcine F₂ families generated from Pietrain, Meishan and Wild Boar crosses. J. Anim. Breed. Genet. **120**: 363–393.
- HIROOKA, H.; DE KONING, D. J.; HARLIZIUS, B.; VAN ARENDONK, J. A. M.; RATTINK, A. P.; GROENEN, M. A. M.; BRASCAMP, E. W.; BOVENHUIS, H., 2001: A whole-genome scan for quantitative trait loci affecting teat number in pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 79: 2320–2326.
- HRADECKY, J.; LINHART, J., 1970: Db next blood group factor of the D system in pig. Anim. Blood Groups Biochem. Genet. 1: 65–66.
- KNORR, C.; MOSER, G.; MÜLLER, E.; GELDERMANN, H., 1997: Associations of GH gene variants with performance traits in F₂ generations of European wild boar, Pietrain and Meishan pigs. Anim. Genet. 28: 124–128.
- KORWIN-KOSSAKOWSKA, A.; PIERZCHALA, M.; CYMEROWSKA-PROKOPCZYK, I.; SZYDLOWSKI, M.; KURYL, J.; ZURKOWSKI, M.; KAMYCZEK, M.; JANIK, A., 2001: The Polish 'Pig Genome Mapping' Project. XIII. Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting carcass fat deposition. Anim. Sci. Papers Reports 19: 27–42.
- LARSEN, N. J.; NIELSEN, V. H., 1993: ApaI and CfoI polymorphisms in the porcine growth hormone gene. Anim. Genet. 24: 71.
- MALEK, M.; DEKKERS, J. C.; LEE, H. K.; BAAS, T. J.; ROTHSCHILD, M. F., 2001a: A molecular genome scan analysis to identify chromosomal regions influencing economic traits in the pig. I. Growth and body composition. Mamm. Genome 12: 630–636.

- MALEK, M.; DEKKERS, J. C.; LEE, H. K.; BAAS, T. J.; PRUSA, K.; HUFF-LONERGAN, E.; ROTHSCHILD, M. F., 2001b: A molecular genome scan analysis to identify chromosomal regions influencing economic traits in the pig. II. Meat and muscle composition. Mamm. Genome 12: 637-645.
- MARKLUND, L.; JOHANSSON-MOLLER, M.; HOYHEIM, B.; DAVIES, W.; FREDHOLM, M.; JUNEJA, R. K.; MARIANI, P.; COPPIETERS, W.; ELLEGREN, H.; ANDERSSON, L., 1996: A comprehensive linkage map of the pig based on a wild pig-Large White intercross. Anim. Genet. 27: 255–269.
- MIKAWA, S.; AKITA, T.; HISAMATSU, N.; INAGE, Y.; ITO, Y.; KOBAYASHI, E.; KUSUMOTO, H.; MATSUMOTO, T.; MIKAMI, H.; MINEZAWA, M.; MIYAKE, M.; SHIMANUKI, S.; SUGIYAMA, C.; UCHIDA, Y.; WADA, Y.; YANAI, S.; YASUE, H., 1999: A linkage map of 243 DNA markers in an intercross of Göttingen miniature and Meishan pigs. Anim. Genet. 30: 407–417.
- PASZEK, A. A.; WILKIE, P. J.; FLICKINGER, G. H.; ROHRER, G. A.; ALEXANDER, L. J.; BEATTIE, C. W.; SCHOOK, L. B., 1999: Interval mapping of growth in divergent swine cross. Mamm. Genome 10: 117–122.
- PASZEK, A. A.; WILKIE, P. J.; FLICKINGER, G. H.; MILLER, L. M.; LOUIS, C. F.; ROHRER, G. A.; ALEXANDER, L. J.; BEATTIE, C. W.; SCHOOK, L. B., 2001: Interval mapping of carcass and meat quality traits in a divergent swine cross. Anim. Biotechnol. 12: 155–165.
- ROHRER, G. A., 2000: Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting birth characters and accumulation of backfat and weight in a Meishan-White Composite resource population. J. Anim. Sci. 78: 2547–2553.
- ROHRER, G. A.; ALEXANDER, L. J.; KEELE, J. W.; SMITH, T. P.; BEATTIE, C.W., 1994: A microsatellite linkage map of the porcine genome. Genetics 136: 231–245.
- ROHRER, G. A.; ALEXANDER, L. J.; HU, Z. L.; SMITH, T. P.; KEELE, J. W.; BEATTIE, C. W., 1996: A comprehensive map of the porcine genome. Genome Res. 6: 371–391.
- WILKE, K.; JUNG, M.; CHEN, Y.; GELDERMANN, H., 1994: Porcine (GT)n sequences: structure and association with dispersed and tandem repeats. Genomics 21: 63-70.
- YUE, G., 1999: Gen- und QTL-Kartierung für die Chromosomen 6, 7, 12 und 13 beim Schwein unter Verwendung informativer F₂-Familien. Diss. sc. agr., University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Grave Verlag, Stuttgart.
- Authors' addresses: G. YUE (present address), Laboratory of Fish Biotechnology, Institute of Molecular Agrobiology, National University of Singapore, 117604 Singapore; J. SCHRÖFFEL JR, Academy of Sciences, Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics, 27721 Libechov, Czech Republic; G. MOSER (present address), Genetic Solutions Pty. Ltd, 31 Dover Street, Albion QLD 4010, Australia; G. REINER (present address), Universität Gießen, Fachgebiet Veterinärmedizin, Klinik für Schweinekrankheiten Frankfurter Straße 112, D-35392 Gießen, Germany; H. GELDERMANN (for correspondence), H. Bartenschlager, Universität Hohenheim, Fachgebiet Tierzüchtung und Biotechnologie, Garbenstraße 17, D-70599 Stuttgart, Germany. Tel.: +49 711 4593570; Fax: +49 711 4593101; E-mail: tzunihoh@uni-hohenheim.de.